

**REMARKS**

In response to a telephone call from Examiner Stuart L. Hendrickson on May 29, 2007 reporting that the description of priority in the application was insufficient, the Applicants have amended paragraph [0001] to reflect that the parent U.S. patent application is now a U.S. patent and to specify the claim to foreign priority.

The foreign priority documents were included in the file of Application No. 09/897,726 a fact that is confirmed by the Office Action Summary for the Office Action dated June 21, 2006. Moreover, attached is a copy of the Claim for Priority Under 35 U.S.C. § 119 in Application No. 09/897,726, the first page of the priority document, and the postcard acknowledging receipt thereof.

In view of the foregoing remarks and amendments, it is urged this case is now in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

THE WEBB LAW FIRM

By 

David C. Hanson, Reg. No. 23,024  
Attorney for Applicants  
700 Koppers Building  
436 Seventh Avenue  
Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
Telephone: 412-471-8815  
Facsimile: 412-471-4094  
E-Mail: webblaw@webblaw.com